arXiv:1610.02763v1 [math.FA] 10 Oct 2016

CONIC JAMES' COMPACTNESS THEOREM

J. ORIHUELA

ABSTRACT. Our main result, which answers for arbitrary Bananch spaces a question posed in [1] is the following:

Let E be a Banach space and D be a weakly compact subset of E with $0 \notin D$. If A is a bounded subset of E such that every $x^* \in E^*$ with $x^*(D) > 0$ attains its supremum on A, then A is weakly relatively compact.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well-known James' theorem [5] claims that *a bounded closed convex set C in a Banach space E is weakly compact if and only if every $x^* \in E^*$ attains its supremum on C.*

The aim of this paper is to complete the answer given in [1] to the following question raised by Delbaen:

Question 1. *Let E be a Banach space and A be a bounded, convex and closed subset of E with $0 \notin A$. Let us assume that for every $x^* \in E^*$ with*

$$\inf x^*(A) > 0,$$

the infimum of x^* on A is attained. Is the set A weakly compact?

For Banach spaces with a $weak^*$ convex-block compact dual unit ball we gave a positive answer in [1] called One-Sided James' Theorem. Thus in particular for Banach spaces with $weak^*$ sequentially compact dual unit ball. We do not know if the result is true for arbitrary Banach spaces. Our main theorem here gives a positive answer if the hypothesis $\inf x^*(A) > 0$ is replaced by requiring that $x^*(D) > 0$ for some fixed relatively weakly compact set of directions D, it reads as follows:

Theorem 2. *Let E be a Banach space and D be a weakly compact subset of E with $0 \notin D$. If A is a bounded subset of E such that every $x^* \in E^*$ with $x^*(D) > 0$ attains its supremum on A, then A is weakly relatively compact.*

This result answers a question posed in [1] where the same result is proved for Banach spaces with a $weak^*$ convex-block compact dual unit ball. Let us remark that Delbaen's problem was motivated by some questions on risk measures in the framework of financial mathematics. Applications and extensions of James'

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A50, 46B50.

Key words and phrases. James' compactness theorem, weakly compact.

Partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and FEDER project MTM2014-57838-C2-1-P; and Fundación Séneca CARM, project 19368/PI/14.

theorem in this field can be found for instance in [7] and [8]. A general theorem for level sets of functions is in [7] and [9]. We very much hope other applications will come soon. An uptodate account on James' compactness theorem can be found in [2]

1.1. **Notation and terminology.** Most of our notation and terminology are standard and can be found in our standard references for Banach spaces [3].

Unless otherwise stated, E will denote a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Given a subset S of a vector space, we write $\mathrm{co}\,(S)$, to denote, respectively, its convex hull. If $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space then E^* denotes its topological dual. If S is a subset of E^*, then $\sigma(E,S)$ denotes the topology of pointwise convergence on S. Dually, if S is a subset of E, then $\sigma(E^*,S)$ is the topology for E^* of pointwise convergence on S. In particular $\sigma(E,E^*)$ and $\sigma(E^*,E)$ are the weak (ω) and weak* (ω^*) topologies respectively.

Given $x^*\in E^*$ and $x\in E$, we write $\langle x^*,x\rangle=\langle x,x^*\rangle=x^*(x)$ for the evaluation of x^* at x. If $x\in E$ and $\delta>0$ we denote by $B(x,\delta)$ (or $B[x,\delta]$) the open (resp. closed) ball centered at x of radius δ. To simplify, we will simply write $B_E:=B[0,1]$; and the unit sphere $\{x\in E\colon \|x\|=1\}$ will be denoted by S_E. An element $x^*\in E^*$ is *norm-attaining* if there is $x\in B_E$ with $x^*(x)=\|x^*\|$. The set of norm-attaining functionals of E is normally denoted by $NA(E)$.

If (x_n) is a bounded sequence in the Banach space E we denote by

$$\mathrm{co}_\sigma=\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty \xi_n x_n : \xi_n\geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^\infty \xi_n=1\}$$

the σ-convex hull of the sequence (x_n)

2. The separable case

In this section we present a proof of our main result for the separable case. Of course it follows form our results in [1]. Nevertheless it should be of interest for deeply understanding of matters. We are going to apply the following result, see Theorem 3 in [8] or Corollary 10.6 in [2]

Theorem 3 (Inf-liminf theorem in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{X}}$). *Assume that X is a nonempty set, $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a pointwise bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}^X$ and Y is a subset of X with the property*

$$\textit{for every } g\in \mathrm{co}_{\sigma_p}\{f_n\colon n\geq 1\} \textit{ there exists } y\in Y \textit{ with } g(y)=\inf_X(g).$$

where

$$\mathrm{co}_{\sigma_p}\{f_n\colon\ n\geq 1\}:=\left\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n f_n:\ \textit{for all } n\geq 1,\ \lambda_n\geq 0 \textit{ and } \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n=1\right\},$$

and the functions $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n f_n\in\mathbb{R}^X$ above are pointwise defined on X, i.e. for every $x\in X$ the absolutely convergent series

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n f_n(x)$$

defines the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n f_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$.

Then

$$\inf_X\left(\liminf_n f_n\right) = \inf_Y\left(\liminf_n f_n\right).$$

Lemma 4. *Lat E be a separable Banach space and $A \subset E$ a closed and convex subset. Let x_0^{**} in $E^{**} \setminus E$ be a weak*-cluster point of A and $D \subset E$ such that $0 \notin \overline{\mathrm{co}(A \cup D)}$. Then there is a weak*-convergente sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n\geq 1}$ in B_{E^*} and $0 < \alpha < \beta$ such that*

$$|\langle x_n^*, x_0^{**}\rangle| < \alpha \tag{1}$$

whenever $n \geq 1$, and

$$\lim_n \langle x_n^*, x\rangle > \beta > \alpha \tag{2}$$

for any $x \in \overline{\mathrm{co}(A \cup D)}$.

Proof. The compact segment line $[-x^{**}, +x^{**}]$ can be strictly separated from the closed convex set $C := \overline{\mathrm{co}(A \cup D)}$ in E^{**} by Hahn-Banach Theorem. So there exists a continuous linear functional $x^{***} \in B_{E^{***}}$ satisfying

$$x^{***}(C) > \beta > \alpha > x^{***}([-x_0^{**}, +x_0^{**}])$$

for some $0 < \alpha < \beta$. Let us fix a countable dense subset $\{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of E and let us consider, for every $n \geq 1$, the set

$$V_n := \left\{y^{***} \in E^{***} : |y^{***}(x_0^{**})| < \alpha,\ |(y^{***} - x^{***})(d_i)| \leq \frac{1}{n},\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\right\}$$

which is a weak*-open neighborhood of x^{***}. Goldstein's theorem permit us to pick up $x_n^* \in B_{E^*} \cap V_n$ for every $n \geq 1$. We will have the sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n\geq 1}$ which clearly satisfies

$$\lim_n \langle x_n^*, d_p\rangle = \langle x^{***}, d_p\rangle$$

for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The density of $\{d_1, \cdots, d_n, \cdots\}$ in E together the equicontinuity of the sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n\geq 1}$ give us the weak*-convergence of the sequence, thus we see that

$$\lim_n \langle x_n^*, x\rangle = \langle x^{***}, x\rangle$$

for all $x \in E$, and

$$|\langle x_n^*, x_0^{**}\rangle| < \alpha$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So the sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies the lemma and the proof is over.

□

Theorem 5. *Let E be a separable Banach space and A be closed and convex set. Let us fix a relatively weakly compact set of directions $D \subset B_E \setminus \{0\}$ such that $0 \notin \overline{\mathrm{co}(A \cup D)}$ and for every $x^* \in E^*$ we have that*

$$\inf\{x^*(a) : a \in A\}$$

is attained whenever $x^*(d) > 0$ for every $d \in D$. Then A is weak*-closed in E^{**} and $A \cap rB_E$ is a weakly compact set for every $r > 0$

Proof. If $A \cap B_E$ is not weakly relatively compact there is a weak*-cluster point $x_0^{**} \in E^{**} \setminus E$ of $A \cap B_E$. Since $0 \notin \overline{\mathrm{co}((A \cap B_E) \cup D)}$ our Lemma 4 applies to provide us with a weak*-convergent sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n\geq 1}$ to x_0^* in B_{E^*} and numbers $\beta > \alpha > 0$ satisfying (1) and (2) for $\overline{\mathrm{co}((A \cap B_E) \cup D)}$. Since the set of directions D is weakly relatively compact and we have

$$x_0^* \in \overline{\mathrm{co}\{x_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\tau(E^*,E)}$$

where $\tau(E^*, E)$ is the Mackey topology of the dual pair $\langle E^*, E\rangle$, i.e the topology of uniform convergence on weakly compact convex subsets of E, it follows that $x_0^* \in \overline{\mathrm{co}\{x_n^* : n \geq m\}}^{p_D}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where p_D is the seminorm $p_D(x^*) := \sup\{|\langle x^*, d\rangle| : d \in D\}$. So we can find a sequence of finite subsets of integers (F_n), and convex combinations of scalars $\sum_{i\in F_n} \lambda_i^n = 1, 0 < \lambda_i^n \leq 1$ such that the sequence $y_n^* := \sum_{i\in F_n} \lambda_i^n x_i^*$ converges uniformly to x_0^* on D. Since $x_0^*(d) > \beta$ for all $d \in D$ by (2) we can and do assume $y_n^*(d) > \beta > 0$ for all $d \in D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus y^* attains its infimum on A for every $y^* \in \mathrm{co}_{\sigma_p}\{y_n^* : n \geq 1\}$. Inf-liminf Theorem 3 can be applied here to obtain that

$$\inf_{\overline{A}^{\sigma(E^{**},E^*)}} \liminf_n y_n^* = \inf_A \liminf_n y_n^*$$

which contradicts (1) and (2) since both inequalities are valid for (y_n^*) instead of (x_n^*). Indeed we have:

$$\inf_A \liminf_n y_n^* = \inf_A \lim_n y_n^* = \inf_A x_0^* \geq \beta$$

and

$$\inf_{\overline{A}^{\sigma(E^{**},E^*)}} \liminf_n y_n^* \leq \liminf_n y_n^*(x_0^{**}) \leq \alpha < \beta$$

□

Remark 6. *Let us remark here that Theorem A.1 in* [6] *is a consequence of the former result in separable Banach spaces. Indeed, if we set A equal to the epigraph of the penalty function V there, it is enough to consider the singleton set $D = \{0, 1\}$ to get the result.*

3. Conic James' construction

We present in this section the main construction for our proof of Theorem 2. A carefull analysis of ideas of R.C. James [5] lead us to find an appropiate (conic-sided) non attaining linear form.

Theorem 7. *Let A be a convex bounded subset of a Banach space E such that the set*

$$\{a^{**} \in \overline{A}^{w^*} \setminus E : \textit{there exists } \{a_n\}_{n\geq 1} \textit{ in } A \textit{ with } a^{**} \in \overline{\{a_n : n \geq 1\}}^{w^*}\}$$

is non–void. Let us fix a convex weakly compact subset D of E which doest not contains the origin.

Then there is a sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n\geq 1}$ *in* B_{E^*} *and* $g_0^* \in co_\sigma\{x_n^* : n \geq 1\}$ *such that for all* $h \in \ell_\infty(A)$ *satisfying that for all* $a \in A$,

$$\liminf_{n\geq 1} x_n^*(a) \leq h(a) \leq \limsup_{n\geq 1} x_n^*(a),$$

we have that

$$g_0^* - h \text{ does not attain its supremum on } A.$$

and $(g_0^* - h)(d) > 0$ *for every* $d \in D$

Proof. By hypothesis we can fix a point $x_0^{**} \in \overline{A}^{w^*} \setminus E$ and $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ a sequence in A with $x_0^{**} \in \overline{\{x_n : n \geq 1\}}^{w^*}$. The line segment $[-x_0^{**}, x_0^{**}]$ doest not meet the $\sigma(E, E^*)$-compact set D since the origin is not inside D. Let us take $x^* \in B_{E^*}$ which strictly separates both sets, we will have:

$$x^*([-x_0^{**}, x_0^{**}]) = [-\mu, +\mu]$$

and

$$x^*(D) = [a, b]$$

where μ, a, b are real numbers and $[-\mu, \mu] \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we assume that

$$-\mu \leq 0 \leq \mu < \beta < \alpha < a < b$$

for α and β real numbers choosed by the strict separation provided with the linear form x^*.

Without loss of generality we may and do assume the fact that

$$x^*(x_n) < \beta \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3}$$

Another Hahn–Banach application, now in the duality (E^{**}, E^{***}), provides us a linear form $z^{***} \in B_{E^{***}}$ such that $z^{***}(x_0^{**}) > 0$ but $z^{***}(E) = \{0\}$. Let us choose $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda z^{***}(x_0^{**}) + x^*(x_0^{**}) > \alpha$

Let us consider the linear functional

$$x^{***} := x^* + \lambda z^{***}$$

and we will have

$$x^{***}(D) = x^*(D) \geq a > \alpha > 0, x^{***}(x_0^{**}) = \lambda z^{***}(x_0^{**}) + x^*(x_0^{**}) > \alpha > 0 \tag{4}$$

Let us remind the reader that we also have by (3)

$$x^{***}(x_n) = x^*(x_n) < \beta \tag{5}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, taking $\frac{1}{\|x^{***}\|}x^{***}$, $\frac{1}{\|x^{***}\|}\alpha$ and $\frac{1}{\|x^{***}\|}\beta$ instead of x^{***}, α and β if necessary, we may assume the former inequalities (4) and (5) with $x^{***} \in B_{E^{***}}$.

By Goldstine and Mackey-Arens theorems we can construct a bounded sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n\geq 1}$ in B_{E^*} satisfying

$$\text{for all } p \geq 1, \qquad \lim_{n\geq 1} x_n^*(x_p) = x^{***}(x_p) = x^*(x_p),$$

and

$$\lim_{n\geq 1} x_0^{**}(x_n^*) = x^{***}(x_0^{**}),$$

$$\lim_{n\geq 1}(x_n^*(d)) = x^{***}(d) = x^*(d)$$

uniformly on $d \in D$. Then

(6) for all $p \geq 1$, there is n_p such that $\beta > x_n^*(x_p)$ for $n \geq n_p$,

and without loss of generality we may assume that:

(7) $$x_0^{**}(x_n^*) > \alpha, x_n^*(d) > \alpha, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } d \in D$$

by uniform convergence on the weak compact set D.

Let us note that, given a $\sigma(E^*, E)$–cluster point x_0^* of the sequence $\{x_n^*\}_{n\geq 1}$, we have that

(8) $$x_0^{**}(x_0^*) \leq \beta,$$

because $x_0^{**} \in \overline{\{x_p : p \geq 1\}}^{w^*}$ and for all $p \geq 1, x_0^*(x_p) \leq \beta$ by (6).

It is time now to Pryce arguments (see [4] Lemma 9,c; Proposition 10.14 and Theorem 10.15 in [2]): there is a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_k}^* : k \geq 1\right\}$ such that

for all $h_0 \in \text{co}_\sigma \left\{x_n^* : n \geq 1\right\}$,

we have:

(9) $$\sup_A \left(h_0 - \limsup_{k\geq 1} x_{n_k}^*\right) = \sup_A \left(h_0 - \liminf_{k\geq 1} x_{n_k}^*\right).$$

Let us now observe that for x_0^{**} we have by (7) that

(10) $$\forall h_0 \in \text{co}_\sigma \left\{x_{n_k}^* : k \geq 1\right\}, \qquad x_0^{**}(h_0) > \alpha.$$

Let us fix a $\sigma(E^*, E)$-cluster point x_0^* of the sequence $\left\{x_{n_k}^* : k \geq 1\right\}$; then it follows that for all $a \in A$,

$$\limsup_{k\geq 1} x_{n_k}^*(a) \geq x_0^*(a) \geq \liminf_{k\geq 1} x_{n_k}^*(a)$$

and thus, for all $a \in A$,

$$\left(h_0(a) - \liminf_{k\geq 1} x_{n_k}^*(a)\right) \geq$$

$$(h_0 - x_0^*)(a)) \geq \left(h_0(a) - \limsup_{k\geq 1} x_{n_k}^*(a)\right).$$

Therefore, in view of (9) we deduce that for all h_0,

$$\sup_A \left(h_0 - \limsup_{k\geq 1} x_{n_k}^*\right) = \sup_A \left(h_0 - \liminf_{k\geq 1} x_{n_k}^*\right) = \sup_A (h_0 - x_0^*).$$

Let us observe that for $h_0 \in \text{co}_\sigma \left\{x_{n_k}^* : k \geq 1\right\}$ we have:

$$\sup_A (h_0 - x_0^*) = \sup_{\overline{A}^{w^*}} (h_0 - x_0^*) \geq (x_0^{**}(h_0) - x_0^{**}(x_0^*)) = \langle x_0^{**}, h_0 - x_0^*\rangle$$

and

$$\langle x_0^{**}, h_0 - x_0^*\rangle > \alpha - \beta > 0,$$

by (8) and (10), as needed to apply [5, Corollary 8] and obtain a sequence $\{g_i^*\}_{i\geq 1}$ with $g_i \in \text{co}_\sigma\{x_{n_k}^* : k \geq i\}$ and $g_0^* \in \text{co}_\sigma\{g_i^* : i \geq 1\}$ such that for all $\tilde{g} \in \ell_\infty(A)$ with

$$\liminf_{i\geq 1} g_i^* \leq \tilde{g} \leq \limsup_{i\geq 1} g_i^* \text{ on } A$$

we have that

$$(g_0^* - \tilde{g}) \text{ does not attain its supremum on } A.$$

Let us observe that $\tilde{g}$ does coincide with x^{***} on D and that g_i are σ-convex combinations of linear forms of the sequence $\{x_n^*\}$. If we may assume in the construction that $x_n^*(d) > x^{***}(d)$ for all $d \in D$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we should have $g_i(d) > x^{***}(d)$ for all $d \in D$, therefore $(g_0^* - \tilde{g})(d) > 0$ for every $d \in D$ and the proof should be over. In particular, for every $\sigma(E^*, E)$–cluster point of the sequence $\{g_i^*\}_{i\geq 1}$, let us say $\tilde{g}^*$, we have that $g_0^* - \tilde{g}^* \in E^*$ does not attain its supremum on A but $(g_0^* - \tilde{g}*)(d) > 0$ for every $d \in D$.

Let us finish with the proof of our claim:

It is possible to choose x_n^* above such that

$$x_n^*(d) > x^{***}(d)$$

for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

We set

$$\epsilon_n := 2\sup\{|x^{***}(d) - x_n^*(d)| : d \in D\}$$

and

$$c_n := \sup\{\frac{x^{***}(d)}{x^{***}(d) - \epsilon_n} : d \in D\}$$

that is well defined because without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\epsilon_n < a = \inf x^{***}(D)$$

for every n.

The function $f(t, \epsilon) := \frac{t}{t-\epsilon}$ is decreasing in $t > \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$ fixed, and $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} f(t, \epsilon) = 1$ for every $t > 0$ fixed too. If we set $\hat{x}_n^* := c_n x_n^*$, we will have that the sequence $\hat{x}_n^*$ verifies our claim since ϵ_n goes to zero and c_n to 1 when n goes to infinity. Indeed the proof is over.

□

Now we are in conditions to give the proof of our Theorem 2

Proof. If $\text{co}(A)$ is not relatively weakly compact it satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 7, thus we find $x^* \in E^*$ such that x^* doest not attains its supremum on $\overline{\text{co}\,(A)}^{\|\cdot\|}$ but $x^*(D) > 0$, which is a contradiction with our hypothesis and it finishes the proof. □

Theorem 2 extends Theorem 10 of [1] for arbitrary Bananch spaces solving a question asked in that paper. Unfortunately Theorem 2 of [1] remains unknown for arbitrary Banach spaces.

REFERENCES

[1] Cascales, B., Orihuela, J., Pérez A.: One-sided James Compactness Theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appli. Volume 445, Issue 2, 1267-1283 (2017). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.03.080
[2] Cascales, B., Orihuela, J., M. Ruiz Galán, M.: Compactness, Optimality, and Risk. In Computational and Analytical Mathematics, pp. 161-218. Springer New York (2013).
[3] Fabian, M., Habala, P., Hájek, P., Montesinos, V., Zizler, V.: Banach space theory: The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, Springer, New York, (2011).
[4] Ruiz Galán, M., Simons, S.: A new minimax theorem and a perturbed James's theorem, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 66, 43–56 (2002).
[5] James. Robert C.: Weakly compact sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 113, pp. 129–140 (1964).
[6] E. Jouini, W. Schachermayer and N. Touzi.: Law invariant risk measures have the Fatou property. Adv. Math. Econ. 9 49–71 (2006).
[7] Orihuela, J., Ruiz Galán, M.: A coercive James's weak compactness theorem and nonlinear variational problems, Nonlinear Anal. 75, 598–611 (2012).
[8] Orihuela, J., Ruiz Galán,M.: Lebesgue property for convex risk measures in Orlicz spaces, Mathematics and Financial 6, no. 1, 15–35 (2012).
[9] Saint Raymond, J.: Weak compactness and variational characterization of the convexity, Mediterranean journal of mathematics 10, no. 2,pp. 927-940 (2013).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30100 ESPINARDO (MURCIA), SPAIN
E-mail address: joseori@um.es